Jon G. Shadinger Jr, Esq. Shadinger Law, LLC NJ Attorney ID No. 036232017 160 Cumberland Avenue P.O. Box 279 Estell Manor, NJ 08319 (609) 319-5399 (314) 898-0458 - Fax js@shadingerlaw.com Attorney for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY TRENTON VICINAGE

THE INDEPENDENCE PROJECT, INC.,
a New Jersey Non-Profit Corporation,

and

RONALD MOORE, an Individual,

Plaintiffs,

Case No. 3:21-cv-00719

VS.

555 SHREWSBURY AVENUE, LLC, a New Jersey Limited Liability Company,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs, THE INDEPENDENCE PROJECT, INC., a New Jersey Non-Profit Corporation, and RONALD MOORE, an Individual, on their behalf and on behalf of all other mobility impaired individuals similarly situated ("Plaintiff" or "Plaintiffs"), hereby sue the Defendant, 555 SHREWSBURY AVENUE, LLC, a New Jersey Limited Liability Company ("Defendant"), for injunctive relief, damages, attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §12181 et seq. ("ADA") and the New Jersey Law Against

Discrimination, N.J.S.A. 10:5-12 ("NJLAD").

COUNT I VIOLATION OF TITLE III OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT, 42 U.S.C. § 12181, et seq.

- 1. Plaintiff, RONALD MOORE, is an individual residing at 1002 Central Ave, New Providence, New Jersey 07974, Union County.
- 2. Plaintiff, THE INDEPENDENCE PROJECT, INC., is a non-profit corporation formed under the laws of the State of New Jersey. THE INDEPENDENCE PROJECT, INC. maintains is principal office at 1002 Central Ave, New Providence, New Jersey 07947, Union County.
- 3. Defendant, 555 SHREWSBURY AVENUE, LLC, holds title to and/or operates a business upon the property alleged by the Plaintiffs to be in violation of Title III of the ADA.
- 4. Defendant's property, also known as Shrewsbury Village Shopping Plaza ("Shrewsbury Village"), is located at 555 Shrewsbury Avenue, Shrewsbury, New Jersey 07702, Monmouth County ("Subject Property").
- 5. Venue is properly located in the District of New Jersey because venue lies in the judicial district of the property situs. The Defendant's property is located in and does business within this judicial district.
- 6. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. §1343, this Court has been given original jurisdiction over actions which arise from the Defendant's violations of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq. See also 28 U.S.C. § 2201 and § 2202.
- 7. Plaintiff, RONALD MOORE is a New Jersey resident, is sui juris, and qualifies as an individual with disabilities as defined by the ADA. Mr. Moore is a quadriplegic as the result of a spinal cord injury and uses a motorized wheelchair for mobility.

- 8. Mr. Moore has visited the Subject Property that forms the basis of this lawsuit on multiple occasions, with his last visit occurring in October 2020, and he plans to return to the Subject Property in the near future to avail himself of the goods and services offered to the public at the property.
- 9. Plaintiff, RONALD MOORE, has encountered architectural barriers at the Subject Property. The barriers to access at the property have endangered his safety. The plaintiff is also a member of the plaintiff organization, THE INDEPENDENCE PROJECT, INC., discussed below in paragraph 10.
- 10. Plaintiff, THE INDEPENDENCE PROJECT, INC. is a non-profit New Jersey corporation. Members of this organization include individuals with disabilities as defined by the ADA. The purpose of this organization is to represent the interest of its members by assuring places of public accommodation are accessible to and usable by the disabled and that its members are not discriminated against because of their disabilities.
- 11. THE INDEPENDENCE PROJECT, INC. and its members have suffered and will continue to suffer direct and indirect injury as a result of Defendant's discrimination until Defendant's have been compelled to comply with the requirements of the ADA. One or more of its members has suffered an injury that would allow it to bring suit in its own right. THE INDEPENDENCE PROJECT, INC. has also been discriminated against due to its association with its disabled members and their claims.
- 12. Defendant owns, leases, leases to, or operates a place of public accommodation as defined by the ADA and the regulations implementing the ADA, 28 CFR 36.201(a) and 36.104. Defendant is responsible for complying with the obligations of the ADA. The place of public accommodation that the Defendant owns, operates, leases or leases to is known as Shrewsbury

Village Shopping Plaza and is located at 555 Shrewsbury Avenue, Shrewsbury, New Jersey 07702, Monmouth County.

- 13. THE INDEPENDENCE PROJECT, INC. and RONALD MOORE have a realistic, credible, existing and continuing threat of discrimination from the Defendant's non-compliance with the ADA with respect to this property as described but not necessarily limited to the allegations in paragraph 16 of this complaint.
- 14. Plaintiffs have reasonable grounds to believe that they will continue to be subjected to discrimination in violation of the ADA by the Defendant. RONALD MOORE desires to visit Shrewsbury Village, not only to avail himself of the goods and services available at the property but to assure himself that this property is fully compliant with the ADA so that he and others similarly situated will have full and equal enjoyment of the property without fear of discrimination.
- 15. The Defendant has discriminated against the individual Plaintiff and members of the corporate Plaintiff by denying them access to, and full and equal enjoyment of, the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations of the buildings, as prohibited by 42 U.S.C. § 12182 et seq.
- 16. The Defendant has discriminated, and is continuing to discriminate, against the Plaintiff in violation of the ADA by failing to, <u>inter alia</u>, have accessible facilities by January 26, 1992 (or January 26, 1993, if Defendant has 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). A preliminary inspection of the Defendant's property, Shrewsbury Village, has shown that violations of the ADA exist. The following are violations that RONALD MOORE personally encountered during his numerous visits to the Subject Property:

Parking and Exterior Accessible Route

- a. Parking spaces provided at Shrewsbury Village lack adequate access aisles, contain slopes beyond limits within parking spaces, and lack compliant accessible routes from accessible parking; a violation of Sections 402 and 502 of the 2010 Standards for Accessible Design. These conditions have prevented Mr. Moore from unloading from his van freely and safely. On certain occasions he would park away from the restaurant to ensure he could access his van.
- b. Shrewsbury Village fails to provide the required amount of compliant accessible parking spaces; a violation of Section 502 of the 2010 Standards for Accessible Design. The lack of accessible parking has forced Mr. Moore to park in open areas so he can freely and safely access his van.
- c. Curb ramps are not provided in some areas at Shrewsbury Village and those that are provided are unsafe for wheelchair users. The curb ramps provided contain excessive slopes, abrupt changes of level, and lack level landings; a violation of Sections 402 and 406 of the 2010 Standards for Accessible Design. Mr. Moore is forced to deal with a lack of maneuvering clearance at the top of the curb ramp. Sloping and abrupt changes of level endanger Mr. Moore's safety as they present a tipping hazard and can cause damage to his wheelchair.
- d. The exterior accessible route leading from accessible parking spaces fails to provide a safe, accessible route to ramps or curb ramps; a violation of Section 402 of the 2010 Standards for Accessible Design. Mr. Moore is forced to traverse cross-slopes and travel through the traffic area of the center, adding the danger of encountering automobiles.
- e. Shrewsbury Village fails to provide a safe accessible route to the adjacent street or sidewalk; a violation Section 206.2.1 of the 2010 Standards for Accessible Design. The lack of an accessible route prevents Mr. Moore from using public transportation to access the Subject Property.

Access to Goods and Services

f. Shrewsbury Village tenant spaces, specifically Bayroot, Emperor Wok, AJ's Deli and Europa Grill, fail to provide accessible dining tables for those in wheelchairs; a violation of Section

902 of the 2010 Standards for Accessible Design. The lack of accessible tables effects Mr. Moore's ability to dine comfortably.

- g. Shrewsbury Village tenant spaces, specifically Bayroot, Emperor Wok, AJ's Deli and Europa Grill, contain payment counters which are mounted beyond the reach of Mr. Moore and all those in wheelchairs; a violation of Sections 308 and 904 of the 2010 Standards for Accessible Design.
- h. The entering/exiting of tenant spaces at Shrewsbury Village is impeded by slopes beyond limits and/or abrupt changes of level at the base of the door; a violation of Section 404 of the 2010 Standards for Accessible Design. Abrupt changes of level can damage Mr. Moore's wheelchair and excessive sloping presents a tipping hazard.

Restrooms

- i. Restrooms provided at Bayroot, Europa Grill and JJ Sky Nails are non-compliant and are unsafe for use by Mr. Moore due to a lack of accessibility. Barriers to access include inaccessible water closets which lack proper controls, improper grab bars, improper signage, and a lack of wheelchair maneuvering space; a violation of Section 601 of the 2010 Standards for Accessible Design.
- j. Restrooms at Bayroot, Europa Grill and JJ Sky Nails provide dispensers mounted beyond the reach of wheelchair users and are inaccessible to Mr. Moore; a violation of Section 308 of the 2010 Standards for Accessible Design.
- k. Lavatories at Bayroot, Europa Grill and JJ Sky Nails lack knee clearance and accessibility preventing Mr. Moore from freely accessing the lavatory; a violation of Section 606 the 2010 Standards for Accessible Design. Due to these conditions Mr. Moore is unable to safely wash his hands before exiting the restroom.
- l. Bayroot, Europa Grill and JJ Sky Nails provide restrooms that contain improper centerlines for the water closets, lack grab bars, and have flush controls which are mounted on the wall side; a violation of Section 604 of the 2010 Standards for Accessible Design. The foregoing conditions affect Mr. Moore's ability to access the water closet safely.

6

- m. The using of restrooms doors at Bayroot, Europa Grill and JJ Sky Nails is impeded by round door knobs, improper signage, and a lack of maneuvering clearance; a violation of Section 404 of the 2010 Standards for Accessible Design. Round door knobs, stored goods, and a lack of maneuvering space impedes Mr. Moore from accessing restroom doors.
- 17. Each of the foregoing violations is also a violation of the 1991 American with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines (ADAAG) and the 2010 Standards for Accessible Design, as promulgated by the U.S. Department of Justice.
- 18. The discriminatory violations described in paragraph 16 are not an exhaustive list of the Defendant's ADA violations. Plaintiffs require thorough inspection of the Defendant's place of public accommodation in order to photograph and measure all of the discriminatory acts violating the ADA and all of the barriers to access that exist. The individual Plaintiff, and all other individuals similarly situated, have been denied access to, and have been denied the benefits of services, programs and activities of the Defendant's buildings and its facilities, and have otherwise been discriminated against and damaged by the Defendant because of the Defendant's ADA violations, as set forth above. The individual Plaintiff, the members of the Plaintiff group, and all others similarly situated will continue to suffer such discrimination, injury and damage without the immediate relief provided by the ADA as requested herein. In order to remedy this discriminatory situation, the Plaintiffs require an inspection of the Defendant's place of public accommodation in order to determine all of the areas of non-compliance with the ADA.
- 19. Defendant has discriminated against the individual and corporate Plaintiffs by denying them access to full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations of its place of public accommodation or commercial facility in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq. and 28 CFR 36.302 et seq. Furthermore, the Defendant

continues to discriminate against the individual Plaintiff, the members of the plaintiff group and all those similarly situated by failing to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford all offered goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations to individuals with disabilities; and by failing to take such efforts that may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services.

- 20. Plaintiffs are without adequate remedy at law and are suffering irreparable harm. Considering the balance of hardships between the Plaintiffs and the Defendant, a remedy in equity is warranted. Furthermore, the public interest would not be disserved by a permanent injunction.
- 21. Plaintiffs have retained the undersigned counsel and are entitled to recover attorney's fees, costs and litigation expenses from the Defendant pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12205 and 28 CFR 36.505.
- 22. Defendant is required to remove the existing architectural barriers to the physically disabled when such removal is readily achievable for its place of public accommodation that have existed prior to January 26, 1992, 28 CFR 36.304(a); in the alternative, if there has been an alteration to Defendant's place of public accommodation since January 26, 1992, then the Defendant is required to ensure to the maximum extent feasible that the altered portions of the facility are readily accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities, including individuals who use wheelchairs, 28 CFR 36.402; and finally, if the Defendant's facility is one which was designed and constructed for first occupancy subsequent to January 26, 1993, as defined in 28 CFR 36.401, then the Defendant's facility must be readily accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities as defined by the ADA.

- 23. Notice to Defendant is not required as a result of the Defendant's failure to cure the violations by January 26, 1992 (or January 26, 1993, if Defendant has 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). All other conditions precedent have been met by Plaintiffs and/or waived by the Defendant.
- 24. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188, this Court is provided with authority to grant Plaintiffs injunctive relief, including an order to require the Defendant to alter Shrewsbury Village and make the Subject Property readily accessible and useable to the Plaintiff and all other persons with disabilities as defined by the ADA; or by closing the Subject Property until such time as the Defendant cures its violations of the ADA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request:

- a. The Court issue a Declaratory Judgment that determines that the Defendant at the commencement of the subject lawsuit is in violation of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq.
- b. Injunctive relief against the Defendant including an order to make all readily achievable alterations to the facility; or to make such facility readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities to the extent required by the ADA; and to require the Defendant to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford all offered goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations to individuals with disabilities; and by failing to take such steps that may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and

services.

- c. An award of attorney's fees, costs and litigation expenses pursuant to 42 U.S.C.§ 12205.
- d. Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper, and/or is allowable under
 Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act.

<u>COUNT II</u> <u>VIOLATION OF NEW JERSEY LAW AGAINST DISCRIMINATION</u> (N.J.S.A. 10:5-12)

- 25. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all allegations set forth in this Complaint as fully set forth herein.
- 26. Defendant's facility is a place of public accommodation as defined by N.J.S.A 10:5-5, the New Jersey Law Against Discrimination.
- 27. New Jersey law provides that all persons shall have the opportunity to obtain all the accommodations, advantages, facilities, and privileges of any public place of accommodation without discrimination on the basis of disability. This opportunity is recognized and declared to be a civil right (N.J.S.A. 10:5-4).
- 28. As set forth above, Defendant has violated the New Jersey Law Against Discrimination by denying disabled individuals the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, facilities, services and accommodations available at the Subject Property.
- 29. As a result of the aforementioned discrimination, Plaintiff RONALD MOORE has sustained emotional distress, mental anguish, suffering and humiliation, and other injuries, in violation of the New Jersey Law Against Discrimination.
 - 30. Defendant's violation of the New Jersey Law Against Discrimination has caused Mr.

Moore harm and, in the absence of the injunction sought herein, the violating conduct will continue to cause him harm.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, RONALD MOORE respectfully demands judgment for damages, attorney's fees, litigation expenses, including expert fees and costs pursuant to the New Jersey Law Against Discrimination.

Dated: January 14, 2021

Respectfully submitted, /s/ Jon G. Shadinger Jr.

Jon G. Shadinger Jr., Esq.
Shadinger Law, LLC
NJ Attorney ID No. 036232017
160 Cumberland Ave.
P.O. Box 279
Estell Manor, NJ 08319
(609) 319-5399
(314) 898-0423 - Fax
js@shadingerlaw.com
Attorney for Plaintiffs, The Independence Project,
Inc. & Ronald Moore